

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

,	
,	1
6	٦
–	

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/047,250	01/14/2002	Ravi Verma	NAI1P244/01.238.01	6325
28875 75	5 7590 07/15/2005		EXAMINER	
Zilka-Kotab, PC			SZYMANSKI, THOMAS M	
P:O. BOX 721120 SAN JOSE, CA 95172-1120			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2134	
			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/047,250	VERMA, RAVI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thomas Szymanski	2134			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAN	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 5 from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/14/	<u> 2002</u> .				
•	·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers		,			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 14 January 2002 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	a) \square accepted or b) \square objection of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance ion is required if the drawing (s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in App rity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office	Paper No(s)/N	mary (PTO-413) lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/047,250 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-26 have been examined.

Specification

- 2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 3. The applicant is requested to review the specification and update the status of all copending applications made mention of, replacing attorney docket numbers with current U.S. application or patent numbers when appropriate. References to U.S. applications or patents should make it clear as to what the number refers (e.g. U.S. Patent No. #), instead of listing only the number.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 9-12, 14-15, 17-18, and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,250 Page 3

Art Unit: 2134

6. Claims 9-12 recite the limitation "The License Enforcement Server". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The claims as disclosed appear to be misnumbered. The examiner has taken these claims to refer back to claim 8.

- 7. Claims 14-15 recite the limitation "The Computational Device". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The claims as disclosed appear to be misnumbered. The examiner has taken these claims to refer back to claim 13.
- 8. Claims 17-18 recite the limitation "The Method". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The claims as disclosed appear to be misnumbered. The examiner has taken these claims to refer back to claim 16.
- 9. Claims 20-21 recite the limitation "The Operating System". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The claims as disclosed appear to be misnumbered. The examiner has taken these claims to refer back to claim 19.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 11. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Olsen U.S. Patent No. 5,758,069.

12. Regarding claim 1: License enforcement server connected to the network (Fig 1 104, Col 3 lines 42-53)

A database (Fig 1 112, Col 3 lines 54-55).

A client connected to the network (Fig 1 106, Col 3 lines 54-60)

An instruction set for receiving and sending messages related to the licensed activity (Col 3 lines 54-67 Col 4 lines 1-30, Col 6 lines 2-19, Fig 3) Olsen provides an instruction set that allows the client to communicate with the licensing server through a messaging system and the server utilizes the given instructions to formulate license information to provide back to the client.

- Regarding claims 2-3: The server being of a combined system with a primary domain controller and address server (Col 3 lines 49-53, 59-63, Col 7 lines 4-24, Fig 5 506) The system as described being resident on a PDC and address server is provided for within the system of Olsen by the implementation of Novell Netware on the server that is acting as a license server. Novell provides for domain control per the user system provided as well as the directory services that provide for addresses of all members.
- 14. Regarding claim 4: A second license enforcement server (Col 3 lines 50-53) Olsen provides for a multiple server environment as would be common place amongst any such network configuration.
- 15. Regarding claim 5: License enforcement server is integrated with the operating system. (Col 3 lines 59-61) Within Olsen's implementation the license enforcement server is integrated into Novell as a module. Since Novell is an integrated part of the operating system of the server this would then extend to those parts of Novell such as the provided licensing system.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,250 Page 5

Art Unit: 2134

16. Regarding claim 6: A namespace tree for identifying licensed activity (Col 5 lines 11-20)

Olsen states that the system set forth is suitably comprised of any database that can store licensing information. Therefore, the system of Olsen provides for a namespace tree as means for identifying licensed activity.

- 17. Regarding claim 7: A license enforcement gateway couple to the license enforcement server (Col 2 lines 44-59, Col 7 lines 50-67) A gateway is provided for access of one license enforcement server to another for when a license is not available on the given server. Thus providing an interconnection via this gateway to allow communication between servers and issuance of a license.
- 18. Claims 8-12, 13-15, 16-18, 19-21, and 22-26 are a recitation of claims 1-7 and as such are rejected on the same basis.

Conclusion

- 19. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is reminded that in amending in response to a rejection of claims, the patentable novelty must be clearly shown in view of the state of art disclosed by the references cited and the objections made. Applicant must show how the amendments avoid such references and objections. See 37 CFR 1.111(c).
- 20. Inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas M. Szymanski who can be reached at (571) 272-8574. The examiner's normal working schedule is between the hours 8:00am 4:30pm (EST), Monday Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,250

Art Unit: 2134

21. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse, can be reached at (571) 272-3838. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

22. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JL

GREGORY MORSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 6